



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/661,766

09/15/2003

Robert Kindermann

7271

29177 7590 09/17/2008
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLP
P.O. BOX 1135
CHICAGO, IL 60690

EXAMINER

IBRAHIM, MOHAMED

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2144

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/17/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/661,766	Applicant(s) KINDERMANN, ROBERT	
	Examiner MOHAMED IBRAHIM	Art Unit 2144	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 July 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 07/22/2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims are directed to a computer program which is software per se. Since software programs are not one of the categories of patentable subject matter, the claims are found to be directed to non-patentable subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2144

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Shimoosawa, U. S. Patent No. 6658456 in view of Appelman et al. (Appelman), U. S. Patent No. 6912564.

Regarding claim 1, Shimoosawa discloses a method for establishing a connection between a first and a second subscriber of a telecommunications network (see e.g. fig. 6 and col. 4 lines 3-8; a public switched telephone network), comprising: creating an object intended for use on a computer (see e.g. col. 1 lines 57-61; sending an e-mail); inserting an address assigned to the second subscriber in the telecommunications network (see e.g. col. 4 lines 57-65; e-mail address is required in order to compose emails); transferring the object to the first subscriber; storing the object on the computer of the first subscriber(see e.g. col. 8 lines 22-33; e-mail transmission and storage units are provided); reading out, with aid of a function which is activated by the first subscriber, the address assigned to the second subscriber in the telecommunications network (see e.g. col. 10 lines 3-4; received e-mail is activated or read); and establishing the connection between first and second subscriber with aid of the address, wherein the address assigned to the second subscriber in the telecommunications network is added as an attribute to the content of the object and is read out (see e.g. col. 5 lines 20-44; the transfer determination unit carries out a matching in the transfer management table for the e-mail address which the received e-mail from the sender was destined).

Art Unit: 2144

Although Shimoosawa discloses the invention substantially as claimed, it does not explicitly disclose real-time communication.

Appelman teaches system for instant messaging the sender and recipient of an e-mail message. In this system, a recipient of an email message can respond to the sender by establishing real time communication with the sender by sending instant messaging to the sender (see Appelman, fig. 10 and col. 14 lines 2-33). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Appelman with that of Shimoosawa. Motivation for doing so would have been to provide immediate and real time access to desired information (see Appelman, col. 1 lines 34-35)..

Claim 2 is substantially the same as claim 1 and is thus rejected for reasons similar to those in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore Shimoosawa-Appelman teaches determining with aid of a function which is activated by the subscriber, the address assigned to the second subscriber in the telecommunication network using the identification included in the object (see e.g. col. 5 lines 39-63). The same motivation utilized in the combination of claim 1, equally applies as well to claim 2.

Regarding claim 3, Shimoosawa-Appelman teaches wherein the identification included in the attribute of the object is transferred in the telecommunications network using the function activated by the first subscriber, the address of the second subscriber is determined based on the identification and the connection to the second subscriber is

Art Unit: 2144

established (see e.g. col. 6 lines 25-37).

Regarding claim 4, Shimoosawa-Appelman teaches wherein an e-mail is provided as the object, an e-mail address of the second subscriber is included as the identification, and the address of the second subscriber in the telecommunications network is determined based on the e-mail address (see e.g. col. 5 lines 20-28 and col. 6 lines 17-23).

Regarding claim 5, the limitation of claim 5 is substantially same as that of claim 1 and thus is rejected for reasons similar to that on claim 1. The same motivation utilized in the combination of claim 1, equally applies as well to claim 5.

Claim 6 list some the same elements of claim 1, but in computer form rather than method form. Therefore, the supporting rationale of the rejection to claim 1 applies equally as well to claim 6. The same motivation utilized in the combination of claim 1, equally applies as well to claim 6.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Prior Art of Record

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please refer to form PTO-892 (Notice of Reference Cited) for a list of relevant prior art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MOHAMED IBRAHIM whose telephone number is (571)270-1132. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William C. Vaughn, Jr. can be reached on 571-272-3922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2144

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MI/

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2144